



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,940	06/25/2001	Tye Travis Gribb	66054002	9270
7590	01/26/2005			EXAMINER NGUYEN, LAM S
			ART UNIT 2853	PAPER NUMBER

Intellectual Property Department
DEWITT ROSS & STEVENS, S.C.
Firstar Financial Center
8000 Excelsior Drive Suite 401
Madison, WI 53717-1914

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/888,940 LAM S NGUYEN	GRIBB ET AL. Art Unit 2853

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 November 2004.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-50 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) 13-23 and 30-38 is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-8,10-12,24-28,39-44 and 46-49 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) 29,45 and 50 is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 May 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 47 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 47 contains the limitation that has been cited in the parent claim 39.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claims 1-5, 7-8, 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. Elements or structure that support(s) the goal set by the preamble of the claimed invention are/is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s). See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). In this case, the set goal of the claimed invention is an apparatus for detecting particles. However, the claims do not show how the first and second delay line anodes are used or structured to detect particles.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2853

2. Claims 1-5, 7-8, 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements. Elements or structure that support(s) the goal set by the preamble of the claimed invention are/is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s). In this case, the set goal of the claimed invention is an apparatus for detecting particles. However, the claims do not show how the first and second delay line anodes are used or structured to detect particles.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 3-7, 10, 12, 24, 26, 28, 39-44, 46-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Friedman et al. (Multilayer Anode with Crossed Serpentine Delay Lines for High Spatial Solution Readout of Microchannel Plate Detectors) (*filed by the applicants*) in view of Meijer (US 3581091).

Friedman et al. discloses a particle detector comprising first and second delay line anodes (*FIG. 2, 4: the upper delay line and lower delay line anodes*), wherein:

- a. the first and second delay line anodes each include an elongated signal line thereon (*FIG. 4, Upper delay line and lower delay line*);
- b. the first delay line anode has a first anode active area upon which particles impinge, the first anode active area containing at least a portion of the first delay line anode's elongated signal line thereon (*FIG. 1*);

c. the second delay line anode has a second anode active area which receives particles from the first anode active area (*page 599, left column, first paragraph: The electrons travel through the plane of the upper delay line to reach the lower delay line*);

d. the second anode active area contains a length of the second delay line anode's elongated signal line, the length having a configuration and dimensions identical to the portion of the first delay line anode's elongated signal line resting within the first anode active area (Fig. 2)

(Referring to claims 6, 39, 42).

Friedman et al. does not disclose wherein the first and second delay line anodes are adjustably mounted in spaced relation to have adaptable spacing therebetween (**Referring to claims 1, 26, 39, 47**), wherein no structure is interposed between the active areas of the first and second delay line anodes (**Referring to claims 3, 24**), and wherein at least one of the first and second anodes is defined by metallic foil layers laminated onto opposing sides of a thermoplastic film (**Referring to claim 43**).

Meijer discloses a particle detector having a first and second anodes, wherein no structure is interposed between the anodes (*FIG. 2, element 2 and 5*) so the space between the anodes is adaptably adjustable (*column 1, line 15-25 and column 2, line 32-37: The distance between the two anodes 2,5 depends on the diameter of the anodes 2, 5*), wherein the first and second anodes each includes an elongated signal line thereon (*FIG. 2, elements, 3-4, 6-7*); and wherein at least one of the first and second anodes is defined by metallic foil layers laminated onto opposing sides of a thermoplastic film (*column 2, lines 24-32*).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the detector disclosed by Friedman et al. such as no structure

is interposed between the anodes so the space between the anodes is adaptably adjustable as disclosed by Meijer. The motivation for doing so would have been to obtain a spectrometer which makes more accurate determination possible as taught by Meijer (*column 1, lines 53-55*).

Friedman et al. also discloses the following claimed invention:

Referring to claims 4-5, 40-41: wherein the first and second delay line anodes are identical and are interchangeable within the particle detector without substantial effect on detector performance (*FIG. 1: Each delay line for X or Y direction so the delay lines are interchangeable*).

Referring to claims 7, 28: wherein the first and second delay line anodes each include a signal layer (*FIG. 4: The upper delay line and lower delay line*) and a ground layer (*FIG. 4: The upper ground plane and lower ground plane*) with a dielectric layer (*FIG. 4: The dielectric layers are between the upper/lower delay line and the upper/lower ground plane*) interposed therebetween, the signal layer having an elongated signal line defined thereon, and wherein the signal line of the first delay line anode is identical to the signal line of the second delay line anode (*FIG. 4: Both are made of Cu*).

Referring to claims 10, 44: wherein at least one of the first and second delay line anodes is formed of flex circuit material (*page 599, left column, second paragraph: Fabrication of the anode begins with standard photolithography of two, double-sided, copper-clad, RT/duroid 6010 ceramic-filled PTFE dielectric boards*).

Referring to claims 12, 46: wherein the first and second delay line anodes include active areas whereupon particles impinge, with the active area of the second delay line anode receiving particles from the active area of the first delay line anode, parallel lengths of signal line, wherein

several lengths extend at least partially outside of the anode's active area, and the lengths of signal line in the first delay line anode extend at a non-parallel angle with respect to the lengths of signal line in the second delay line anode (*FIG. 1-2, 4: The upper delay line and the lower delay line are orthogonal*).

4. Claims 2, 25, 27, 48-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Friedman et al. (Multilayer Anode with Crossed Serpentine Delay Lines for High Spatial Solution Readout of Microchannel Plate Detectors) (*filed by the applicants*) in view of Meijer (US 3581091), as applied to claims 1, 24, 39, and further in view of Mendez et al. (US 3359421).

Friedman et al., as modified, discloses the claimed invention as discussed above except wherein the first anode active area and second anode active area of the delay line anodes are space by vacuum or a gas.

Mendez et al. discloses an apparatus for detecting and locating the trajectories of charged particles (*column 1, lines 10-15*) having a plurality of anodes spaced apart (*FIG. 1, elements 14, 16, 17*), wherein the space is filled with a noble gas such as He, Ne (*FIG. 1, element 13*).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the particle detector disclosed by Friedman et al., as modified, such as filling a gas into the space between the anodes as disclosed by Mendez et al. The motivation of doing so is to provide improved means for detecting and recording the charged particle tracks as taught by Mendez et al. (*column 2, lines 64-66*).

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 2853

5. Claims 13-23, 30-38 are allowed and Claims 29, 45, and 50 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Referring to claims 13, 29, 30: The reasons for allowance of the claims were indicated in the previous office action.

Claim 50 is allowed because it contains the same allowable figure cited in the claim 13, 29, or 30.

Referring to claim 45: The primary reasons for the indication of the allowability of the claim is the inclusions therein, in combination as currently claimed, of the limitation that wherein at least one of the first and second delay line anodes is sufficiently flexible that it may be bent to adopt an angle of curvature of at least 45 without breaking is neither disclosed nor taught by the cited prior art of record, alone or in combination.

Claims 14-23 and 31-38 are allowed because they depend directly/indirectly on claim 13 or 30.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/08/2004 have been fully considered and persuasive as regarding to the third rejection of the previous office action. As a result, the third rejection has been withdrawn. However, the argument regarding to the other rejections have been found not persuasive. First of all, regarding to the first and second rejections, the applicants stated that the claims and specification fulfill the requirement of MPEP 2164.08(c). The examiner does not agree with the argument. Eventhough, the specification fully discloses the invention, the claims do not. As stated by MPEP 2174 that "*If the specification discloses that a particular feature or*

Art Unit: 2853

element is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, failure to recite or include that particular feature or element in the claims may provide a basis for a rejection based on the ground that those claims are not supported by an enabling disclosure". Therefore, the 112 rejections are maintained.

Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection based on the teaching of the prior art have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAM S NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-2151. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM - 3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, STEPHEN D MEIER can be reached on (571)272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LN
January 19, 2005

Hai Pham

HAI PHAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER